

EROSION PROCESSES

Edited 11/02/01

Characterization

The two primary components of erosional processes found within the North Fork Coquille Watershed are mass movement and surface erosion. Erod Table 1 defines and classifies mass movement types.

Erod Table 1: Classification of Mass Movement Types by Type of Material (based on classification by Varnes 1978 presented in Ritter 1986 with additional information from Swanson et al 1982, and Coch 1995)

Type of Mass Movement			Type of Material and Rate of Movement:		
(Mass Movement is the downslope displacement of regolith and rock. Fall, slide and slump events are popularly referred to as landslides .)			Bedrock	Primarily Coarse Fragments	Primarily Fine Material (Soils)
Falls - Material travels through the air and lands at the base of the slope. A fall is called a topple when the movement is through a forward arc around a hinge point at the base of the block.			Rock Fall (extremely rapid)	Debris Fall (extremely rapid)	Earth Fall (extremely rapid)
Slides - Slope failure initiated along a well-defined slippage plane. Material moves in contact with the underlying surface.	Rotational - Plane of sliding is often deep and movement of material is along a concave contact surface. Mass of material moves as a unit or breaks into a few cohesive blocks. Movement often associated with water at the slip plane reducing resistance to failure or with loss of buttressing at the toe of the slope. Other terms include rotational slip, rotational slump. Some authors differentiate these features into small-sporadic deep-seated landslides and large-persistent deep-seated landslides based on size, origin, and permanence on the landscape.		Rock Slump (extremely slow to moderate)	Debris Slump (extremely slow to moderate)	Earth Slump (extremely slow to moderate)
	Translational - Alternately called planar. Plane of sliding is generally shallow and approximately parallel to the ground surface. Usually occurs following prolonged or intense rainfall and has been associated with activities that overload or over steepen the slope.	Few units - Mass of material moves as a unit or breaks into a few cohesive blocks	Rock Block Slide (moderate)	Debris Block Slide (slow to very rapid)	Earth Block Slide (slow to very rapid)
		Many units - Mass of material breaks into many units or mixed as it moves	Rock Slide (very slow to extremely rapid)	Debris Slide (very slow to rapid)	Earth Slide (very slow to rapid)
	Flows - Displaced mass moves as a plastic or viscous liquid, in which the velocity is greatest at the surface and decreases downward in the flowing mass. Rapid movement is facilitated by water acting as a lubricant or less commonly by a cushion of air trapped between the moving mass and the underlying surface. Most flows rise naturally as the final stage of a movement that began as a slide. Usually occurs following prolonged or intense rainfall.		Rock Flow an alternate term is rock fragment flow (extremely rapid)	Debris Flow (very rapid)	Earth Flow/ Mud Flow (slow to rapid)
				Debris avalanche* (Very rapid to extremely rapid)	
				Debris torrent** (extremely rapid)	
Creep - The down slope movement of regolith driven by gravity and aided periodically by the heave mechanism. Heaving is a disrupting force acting perpendicular to the ground surface by expansion of material. Examples are soil particles displaced by shrinking and swelling (typically clays) and by freezing and thawing (typically silts).				Soil creep (Extremely slow)	

* The general term **avalanche** is used for the most rapidly falling sliding and flowing mass movements (Coch 1995). The term **debris avalanche** appears not to have a consistently applied definition. Coch (1995) defines debris avalanche as extremely rapid movements of rocks and sediment characterized by a semicircular head with an elongated tongue of debris running down the slope, and as a chute cut down the slope terminating in piles of debris at the toe of the slope. Ritter (1986) explains that debris avalanche may be generated from a debris flow, if the mass is wet, as a long narrow flow that extends well beyond the toe of the slope. The definition used in this watershed analysis is from Swanson and others (1982). They use debris avalanche as a general term for rapid shallow (1 to 2 meter deep) mass movement that encompasses the processes classed as debris flows, slides, and rapid earth flows.

** Swanson and others (1982) define a **debris torrent** as a rapidly moving slurry of soil, alluvium and large organic debris that occurs in response to intense storms, and are commonly triggered by debris avalanches entering a channel from adjacent hillslopes. As the debris avalanche moves down the channel, it becomes a debris torrent as it scours the streambank and bed. Runoff from intense storms can also initiate a debris torrent by mobilizing material previously deposited in the channel by erosional processes and windthrow.

Mass Movement: The mass movement processes most often found in the North Fork Coquille are landslide failures such as debris flows, debris slides, and slumps. Debris torrents affect steep channels. Rock falls/rock slides are locally important. Earth flows occur in soils that developed in the Otter Point formation.

Soil creep is on going process on most of the landscape.

Surface Erosion: Surface erosion is the wearing away of soil and rock by weathering agents such as wind and water. Surface erosion results from sediment availability and transport capacity. Soil erodibility is strongly related to soil texture. Coarse and fine soil particles generally have lower erodibilities than intermediate textures. Coarse or large particles are more difficult for water to move, while fine soils such as clays possess enough cohesiveness to hamper movement. Intermediate classes generally have high silt contents that lack cohesion in wet conditions. Tables Erod-2 and Erod-3 display the soil types typical for the geologic features in the watershed. Erod Apdx Table 1, in the Erosion Processes Appendix, lists the soils found within the North Fork Coquille Watershed. Table 13.– Physical and Chemical Properties of the Soils in the Soil Survey of Coos County, Oregon (Haagen 1989) lists the K-Factor for these soils. K-Factor is a measure of the inherent erodibility of a soil.

Geology and Geologic Hazards: Much of what has been published on how geology influences slope stability that is specific to the south end of the Coast Range was written in the 1970s and thus was based on geology maps and stratigraphy published by Baldwin & Beaulieu (1973) and Baldwin (1974 cited in Wells *et al.* 2000). More recent works in this part of Oregon refined and further subdivided the strata. The primary sources of this newer body of information are referenced in the documentation for the geology maps by Niem & Niem (1990), and Wells and others (2000). Map Erod-3 shows the geology in the portion of the North Fork Coquille and South Fork Coos Watersheds covered by the Roseburg 30X60' Quadrangle, which was prepared by Wells and others (2000) and can be down loaded from the Internet (<http://geopubs.wr.usgs.gov>). Table Erod-2 displays the geological formations and Table Erod-3 displays the superficial geological units within the North Fork Coquille River Watershed (Beaulieu & Hughes 1975, Niem & Niem 1990, Wells *et al.* 2000). These features have erosional hazards associated with them based on properties such as texture, permeability, and mineral content. The content of these tables is based primarily on Beaulieu and Hughes's 1975 bulletin work on environmental geology and updated to reflect more recent work on the geologic stratigraphy as shown in geology maps prepared by Niem & Niem (1990), and Wells and others (2000).

Table Erod-2 Descriptions of Primary Geologic Formations in the North Fork Coquille Watershed (Beaulieu & Hughes 1975, Haagen 1989, Niem & Niem 1990, Wells *et al.* 2000)

Formation (Symbol)	Rock type Structure and bedding	Soils	Associated Hazards
Coaledo, lower member (Tecl)	Coarse to fine-grained, hard, deltaic sandstone with interbeds of softer siltstone; well-developed bedding	Loamy sand, sandy loam and silty loam	Erosion locally, earthflows in deep cuts, stream bank erosion
Elkton (Tee)	Thinly bedded siltstone with minor sandstone interbeds	Loam and silty clay loam; very thin locally even on gentle slopes	Streambank erosion
Tyee formation, Baughman member (Ttbs)	Thick to very thick-bedded sandstone; medium to coarse grained; rarely cross-bedded; minor interbedded siltstone; cliff-former	Loamy sand, sandy loam, and gravelly sandy loam; very thin soils on steeper slopes with abrupt transition to bedrock	Rapid erosion, flash flooding, rapid mass movement, stream bank erosion
Tyee formation, Hubbard Creek member (Th)	Parallel laminated mudstone and siltstone with minor thin to medium beds of fine-grained sandstone; slope-former.	Loam, silty clay loam,	Mass movement, stream bank erosion
Tyee formation, Hubbard Creek member sandstone (Tths)	Fine-grained, graded, thin to thick-bedded sandstone and thin mudstone.	Same as Tyee formation, Baughman member	Same as Tyee formation, Baughman member
Tyee formation, Tyee Mountain member (Ttts)	Rhythmically bedded, thick to very thick-bedded well-hardened, clay-cemented fine to coarse-grained sandstone and thin layers of siltstone; cliff-former	Same as Tyee formation, Baughman member	Same as Tyee formation, Baughman member

Table Erod-2 Descriptions of Primary Geologic Formations in the North Fork Coquille Watershed (Beaulieu & Hughes 1975, Haagen 1989, Niem & Niem 1990, Wells *et al.* 2000)

Formation (Symbol)	Rock type Structure and bedding	Soils	Associated Hazards
Camas Valley formation* (Tcv)	Medium dark gray laminated mudstone. massive, intermingles with and overlies undivided White Tale Ridge formation. Unit forms broad gentle sloping valleys	silty clay loam, loam	Lower frequency of mass move then in Tyee formation. Rotational mass movement more common than translational. Translational failures localized to headwall and short steep features. Stream bank erosion.
Whitetail Ridge formation, undivided** (Tw)	Moderately to well-hardened, ridge-forming, fine to medium-grained, thick to thick-bedded sandstone and thin mudstone.	Silty clay loam, loam, gravelly loam	
Whitetail Ridge formation, unnamed siltstone member (Twst)	Massive to thin-bedded marine mudstone	Silty clay loam, loam	
Tenmile formation mudstone and turbidite sandstone *** (Tmms)	Thick, even-bedded sequence of well-hardened deep-marine rhythmically bedded, thin to very thin, graded beds sandstone and mudstone. Sandstone to mudstone ratios 1:1 to 1:4	silty clay loam, loam, gravelly loam	
Roseburg sedimentary member (Ter)	Rhythmically bedded hard sandstone and siltstone; Faulted and sheared in southern Coos County to produce extensive mass movement terrain and subdued topography	loam, silty clay loam; with areas of loam, gravelly loam, gravelly sandy loam	Same as above. Large slump features in south end of watershed.
Roseburg volcanic member (Terv)	Dense to rubbly basalt. Massive, thick, variable stratigraphic position	Silty clay loam, silt loam; some gravelly loams, and gravelly sandy loams. Extremely variable in thickness	Flash flood, mass movement
Otter Point (Jop, Js)	Sheared sediment, rock pods of schist and crumpled beds of chert, also altered volcanic rock. Pervasively sheared with intact knockers of schist or chert	Silt loam, silty clay loam; extremely variability	Mass movement locally deep and slow, stream bank erosion, slope erosion.

* Formerly the Camas Valley member of the Flournoy formation

** Formerly the Whitetail member of Flournoy formation

*** Formerly part of the Tenmile member of the Lookingglass formation

Table Erod-3 Descriptions of Superficial Geologic Units in the North Fork Coquille Watershed (Beaulieu, Hughes 1975)

Superficial Geologic Units (Symbol)	Distribution	Material and bedding	Soils	Associated erosion hazards	Other land use suitability characteristics
Quaternary alluvium (Qal)	Flood plains of rivers and major streams	Sand, silt, clay and gravel depending on environment and source; cross-bedded	Sandy and loamy sand, silty loam, silty clay loam	Siltation, streambank erosion	Caving, flooding, ponding, settling, high ground water
Quaternary Fluvial terrace (Qft)	Dissected former flood plains "elevated" above present flood plain by stream down cutting	Sand, silt, clay and gravel depending on the source; cross-bedded	Silt loam, silty clay loam	Streambank erosion	Ponding, high water table
Quaternary Marine terrace (Qmt)	Flat coastal uplands, lifted by tectonism, and mantled with marine deposits	Compact, horizontally bedded, deeply weathered silt, sand, and clay; pan development locally	Silt loam, silty clay loam, sandy loam, sand, silt	Streambank erosion	Locally thin, poor drainage in places, failure in deep cuts.
Quaternary Landslide debris (Qls)	Large, persistent, deep-seated landslides that occurred 100s to 1,000s of years ago during seismic events or as the result of extreme rainfall events or channel incision. These features predate and are independent of management activities; Slump features on deep cohesive soils.	Mixed soil and debris	Colluvium.	Slumping, streambank erosion, chronic sedimentation	

Landform and Slope Stability: The sandstone units can be stratified into two types based on landform characteristics and associated slope failures. The marine basalt unit exhibits similarities to the second

sandstone type (Burroughs *et al.* 1973, Townsend *et al.* 1977). These are shown in Table Erod-4 below. The overall shape of the land in the sandstone units, with V-shaped first and second order draws, and narrow bottom valleys makes for efficient delivery of sediment. Steeper drainages tend to produce more sediment and have a greater response to management activities in part because of the importance of mass wasting on steep land. Production of sediment was observed to be low from drainages with a mean slope less than 35% even after road construction, clearcutting and broadcast burning (Swanson *et al.* 1987).

Table Erod-4: Landform and Associated Slope Failures (Burroughs *et al.* 1973, Townsend *et al.* 1977).

Geologic material	Type of failure	Activity most affected	Remarks
Type1 Land Form			
Bedded sediments: Baughman and Tyee Mtn. members of the Tyee formation. Areas of the Hubbard Cr. member next to contact with Baughman member may also exhibit type I land form.	Debris avalanches, debris flows, and debris torrents originating in headwalls are the most common slope failures. Also, rock slides and rock falls.	Roads and clearcut timber harvest Side cast road construction on very steep and extremely steep slopes risks overloading marginally stable slopes.	Very steep and extremely steep slopes with sharp ridges. Many slopes have a uniform gradient from ridge top to slope toe. Headwalls (fan-shaped concave features) high in the drainage, and high dissection density. Very shallow to moderately deep, skeletal soils. Headwall gradients typical 80% or greater. Typical headwall soils are Umpcoos, Digger, Jason and Rockland. Typical ridge top soils are Preacher and Blachly. Bohannan is a typical soil on concave midslopes and lower slopes. Milbury is a typical mid and upper side slope soil. (Type I sites)
Type2 Land Form			
Bedded sediments: Elkton, Camas Valley, Whitetail Ridge, Tenmile formations; Roseburg sedimentary member, and Hubbard Creek member of the Tyee Formation.	Rotational slumps, translational slides and earth flows Most unstable areas are steep, concave slopes at head of drainages; convex portions of ridges and edges of benches, or areas where water accumulates.	Roads Road failures frequently involve poorly consolidated or poorly drained road fills. Failures are also associated with embankments greater than 12 to 15 feet on any red clayey soil (for example: Honeygrove, Blachly or Jory)	Very deep soils, unconsolidated bedrock materials and steep slopes. Side slope gradients are 10% to 80%. Ridges are rounded and longer side slopes may be broken by benches. Dissection density less than on type I sites. Headwalls and small patches of exposed rock are rare. Typical ridge top soils are Honeygrove, Preacher, and Blachly. Bohannan is typically found on smooth steep side slopes. Preacher is typically found on benches. Digger and Jason soils are generally associated with steep side slopes at the head of drainages. Soils on gentle slopes have developed over centuries and therefore are deep with a high (50-70%) clay content. Fine sediment inputs to streams are naturally high from the plateau areas due to the deep fine textured soils and to associated slump features. Little mass wasting on the flatter benches (except from the convex edges), but instead soil creep is dominant mechanism for delivery of soil to hollows and draws. (Type II sites)
Submarine basalt: Volcanic member of Roseburg formation	Slumps	Roads	Moderately deep to very deep soils and highly fractured bedrock materials, otherwise, the same as above. (Type II sites)
Tectonically sheared predominately sediments: Otter Point formation	Slumps and earthflows	Roads	Slopes characterized by benchy, hummocky appearance with gradients of 20 to 50%. May have inclusions of chert or schist. Typical soils are Etlka and Whobrey.

Erosion and Slope Stability on the Otter Point Formation: Exposures of Otter Point in Coos County are dominated by sheared sedimentary rock (Beaulieu, Hughes 1975). Soils derived from the siltstone component of these rocks are a source of turbidity that imparts faint milky appearance to some streams flowing out of these areas. Slopes on this terrain have a benchy, hummocky appearance with gradients of 20 to 50% (Townsend *et al.* 1977). Slumps and earthflows are the most common types of slope failure on sediments of the Otter Point formation with earthflows occurring on slopes as gentle as 20%. These flows are most pronounced on moderate slopes where streams are eroding the toe of the slope. Construction on these sites is difficult. Not only does the inherent instability of these sites take their toll on existing roads, new construction and road improvements can locally increase the rate of movement of an earthflow, if not

carefully designed. In places the plasticity of the soils derived from this formation may be unacceptable, necessitating either rerouting or special engineering techniques (Beaulieu, Hughes 1975).

Current Conditions

Modeling probability of slope failure: A probabilistic analysis was conducted on the North Fork Coquille Watershed using the infinite slope model. The model predicts a worst case landslide probability based on the following assumptions:

- Rainfall of 55 inches/ year
- For modeling purposes, all lands are denuded except the Riparian Reserve
- Soil is completely saturated and undergoes a 6 inches of rain per 24 hour storm event.

The model is not interactive with respect to time and does not allow for a natural range of variability. The analysis provides an estimate of the probability of slope failure and is not intended to give a calculated factor of safety nor is it a deterministic analysis of risk. The probability of failure was broken down into five categories based on ranges of factors of safety. The results of this analysis are shown on Map Erod-1 and the acres by category are shown in Table Erod-5. Sensitivities in the model identify areas of greater probability for slides. The model is more sensitive to variables such as soil and root cohesion (strength). During the analysis phase, tree age data was unavailable for lands in private ownership therefore, the map shows federally managed lands only.

Table Erod-5: BLM Acres by Landslide Probability

Probability (map color code)		Acres
None	(green)	19,223
Low	(blue)	9,447
Moderate	(yellow)	3,068
Moderately High	(orange)	2,373
High	(red)	2,596

Timber Production Capability Classification: The Timber Production Capability Classification (TPCC) Map of the North Fork Coquille Watershed is another representation of the current condition of the soils in the Watershed with respect to risk of mass movement¹. The TPCC was mapped only on BLM land and is

¹ The first TPCC manual was prepared in 1974 partly in response to the March 1972 Church Subcommittee Report on Clearcutting on Federal Lands. Policy guidelines that came out of the Subcommittee Report included:

Clear-cutting should not be used as a cutting method on Federal land areas where: a. Soil, slope or other watershed conditions are fragile and subject to major injury. b. There is no assurance that the area can be adequately restocked within five years after harvest.

The implementation of these two policy guidelines are reflected in the 2-part structure of the TPCC classification. The first part is a classification of site robustness/ fragility with respect to timber removal. The second part is a classification of site conditions that may restrict reforestation success. The TPCC is a land classification system that allows for stratifying the land for calculating allowable sale quantity. The land is classified through TPCC based on the physical and biological capability of the site to support and produce forest products on a sustained yield basis, using operational management practices. "TPCC is not designed for, nor is to be used to make management decisions on economic, or multiple-use considerations. Resource allocation is a function of the Bureau Planning System" (USDI 1986). Consequently, TPCC is used to identify all of the sites that can support a sustainable yield of wood. The Resource Management Plan identifies those lands, which are classified as capable of producing a sustain yield of wood products, that will actually be included in the land area allocated to meet the timber production objectives.

During the 1986/87 TPCC mapping project, sustainability of timber production was determined in the context of the timber management plan in place at that time, and in context of the state of the art of applied logging engineering and silvicultural practices in 1986. The assumptions used to assess sustainability were management for timber production through repeated clearcut harvest on 40-year rotations using appropriate logging technology, followed by broadcast burn site preparation, planting, and timely application of treatments to insure seedling survival and growth. Reduction of forest yield was interpreted to occur if the site productivity was expected to drop by one site class as the result of the management regime described above (F. Price, Tioga TPCC Forester in 1986/87). Land was classified nonfragile if the land was expected to produce a sustainable yield of wood products without special harvest or restrictive measures beyond those that are a standard part of timber sale contracts in 1986. Lands were classified fragile-restricted (FGR1 and FGR2) if special harvest or restrictive measures (for example partial log suspension, full log suspension, directional falling, aerial logging, or low impact alternative site preparation) were required to insure long-term sustainable harvest of

displayed on Map Erod-2. The TPCC fragile gradient classes for Coos Bay District are FGR1, FGR2, and FGNW. FGR1 sites are predominantly on 50% to 70% slopes and FGR2 sites are on predominantly 70% to 80% slopes. These sites are classified “fragile” because special harvest and/or restrictive measures, beyond the standard timber sale contract language, are necessary to protect the ability of these sites to product a sustainable yield of wood products. Matrix lands classified through TPCC as nonproblem, non-fragile, fragile-restricted (includes FGR1 and FGR2) are in the land base used to calculate the allowable sale quantity (USDI 1986; USDI 1995)². FGNW sites are very fragile and are usually on steep, shallow, rocky soils that are prone to landsliding when denuded by clearcutting or by stand replacement fire. Some FGNW mapping units contain exposed rock, which though stable, do not support tree growth. FGNW mapping units sometimes contain small areas of non-fragile or fragile-restricted land due to the practical limitations of delineating and managing those inclusions. The BLM excludes FGNW sites from the timber base when calculating an allowable sale quantity (USDI 1986) and the management direction is to minimize disturbance of these sites (USDI 1995). The TPCC data do not compare directly with the result of the infinite slope model. The TPCC map was produced using a combination of photo interpretation, and site visits. The TPCC fragile gradient classification is an assessment of the risk to site productivity posed by shallow rapid slides and flows if the site were to be clearcut harvest using appropriate special harvest and/or protective measures.

The BLM manages 36,861 acres of land in the North Fork Coquille Watershed. Of this, 4,159 acres are classified as FGNW and therefore withdrawn in 1986/87 from inclusion in the allowable cut calculations. These areas are scattered throughout the Watershed with concentrations of FGNW ground in the south central portion of the Watershed. As shown in Table Erod-6 and Map Erod-4, the Interim Riparian Reserve contains more land, and has a higher percent of the land, with a TPC classification of as fragile gradient than do lands outside the Interim Riparian Reserve.

Table Erod-6: BLM Land Classified in the TPC as Fragile Gradient in the North Fork Coquille Watershed

TPCC Classification	BLM land inside Interim Riparian Reserve		BLM land outside Interim Riparian Reserve		Total BLM land in the Watershed	
	Acres	Percent	Acres	Percent	Acres	Percent
FGNW	2,538	13.2%	1,621	9.2%	4,159	11.3%
NF (rockland & small FGNW patches <5 acres)	138	0.7%	60	0.3%	198	0.5%
FGR2	2,547	13.2%	1,985	11.3%	4,532	12.3%
FGR1	4,027	20.9%	2,932	16.7%	6,960	18.9%
lands not classified as “fragile gradient” in TPC	10,024	52.0%	10,988	62.5%	21,012	57.0%
totals	19,275	100.0%	17,586	100.0%	36,861	100.0%

TPCC and Soil Type: Of the BLM acres classified as FGNW in the North Fork Coquille, 91% are on three soil types: Mulbury-Bohannon-Umpcoos Association (45%), Umpcoos-Rock Outcrop (37%) and Preacher-Bohannon loams on 60 to 90% slopes (8.7%) (Erosion Processes Appendix). The individual soil types in the

wood products (USDI 1986). Lands classified as FGNW are not expected to produce sustainable yields of wood products if managed for timber production objectives following the assumptions described above. Therefore, FGNW lands are not included in the land base used to calculate the allowable sale quantity. The FGNW classification does not preclude treatments to obtain non-timber management Forest Plan objectives.

² This discussion is specific to site fragility as it relates to erosion issues. As a point of clarification, the TPCC has a reforestation component and certain lands classified as “woodland” due to reforestation issues are also excluded from the land base used to calculate the allowable sale quantity (USDI 1986).

Mulbury-Bohannon-Umpcoos Association are so intricately intermingled that it is not practical to map them as separate units at the scale used for the soil survey maps. The soils in this association are on 50 to 80% slopes and range from the shallow skeletal Umpcoos to the moderately deep Mulbury and Bohannon soils, and include some rock outcrops. This range of variation is reflected in the TPC classifications of these sites with 20% of the acres of this soil association classed FGNW, 30% classed FGR2, 27% classed FGR1 with the remainder classified nonfragile with respect to gradient in TPC.

The Umpcoos-Rock Outcrop soils are on the whole the most fragile soil type in this Watershed with respect to shallow rapid translational types of slides with 62% of these sites classed as FGNW and nearly all the rest of these soils on BLM classed as FGR1 or FGR2.

The soil type with the third most BLM acres classified as FGNW is Preacher-Bohannon loam soils on 60 to 90% slopes. These are deep and moderately deep soils. Inclusions of Mulbury and Digger soils make up about 15% of this mapping unit. Almost 7% of the BLM lands supporting this soil type are classed FGNW, and 11.4% are classed FGR2, with these sites occupying the steeper extreme of the slope range for this map unit. Generally, the soils on the moderate end of the slope range for this soil type are not classified in the TPC as fragile gradient, and these occupy 47.8% of the soil type's area.

The Mulbury-Bohannon-Umpcoos Association, and Umpcoos-Rock Outcrop soil mapping units occur on land underlain by the Tyee formation. Preacher-Bohannon loams are across the Watershed.

Middle Creek Subwatershed Landslide History: A landslide history was completed for the Middle Creek Subwatershed in 1994 using aerial photographs from 1950 to 1992. The geology, topography, and soil types in the Middle Creek Subwatershed are generally representative of the array conditions found in the Watershed. The process used to do the landside history, and the findings, are in Erosion Processes Appendix. The following is a summary of findings:

- Debris torrents and debris avalanches are the major form of erosion in the Tyee formation.
- Landslides that deliver sediment to streams are uncommon in the parts of the Subwatershed overlying the other formations in the Middle Creek Subwatershed.
- Of the 299 slides observed on the photos that delivered sediment to streams, 70% were associated with management activities. Small natural landslides were difficult to identify and were probably undercounted.
- The 1950, 1955 and 1959 photographs showed a large number of natural origin slides totaling 28 debris avalanches and 52 debris torrents. Some of these slides were major disturbances that ran for miles affecting Park Creek³ and Middle Creek and major tributaries. This concentration of naturally occurring avalanches and torrents suggests intense storms during the late 1940s and 1950s.
- Management associated slope failures peaked in the early to mid 1960s. The rate of landsliding decreased with as road construction practices improved.
- In addition to management associated slope failures, the earlier aerial photos showed activities that exposed soils to surface erosion. These include vegetation removal in association with power line construction, areas of high density tractor skid trails exposing soils on up to 50% of the logged site, yarding logs down draws, yarding through streams, and building roads that encroach on the stream channel.

³ The 27-10-14-4.0 road crosses the toe of a steep slope composed of mudstone/siltstone parent material (suspected to be the Hubbard Creek member of Tyee Formation) in section 9, T.27S.,R.10W. The road location combined with the comparative speed that mudstone/siltstone decomposes puts this road at higher than normal risk of being damaged by debris torrents. Old aerial photographs show the Park Creek Drainage producing the debris torrents with the longest run out paths in the Watershed. Some debris torrents run nearly the entire length of Park Creek.

Interaction of roads and hillslope processes: The following discussion on the interaction of roads and streams is from Jones and others (2000) unless noted otherwise. Road location on the landscape, specifically slope position, strongly influences the types and frequencies of interactions between roads and streams. Roads near ridges have little direct effect on streams. Roads intersect streams at angles close to perpendicular on midslope and lower slope positions. Roads on the lower slope and valley floor parallel major streams and cross tributary streams. Consequently, road-stream crossings are concentrated on the mid and lower slope positions. These are points of interaction between the road and stream systems. Streams are conduits for the gravitational transport of water, sediment and debris. A road segment can affect water flow and sediment transport in a stream by acting as a barrier, a net source, a net sink, or as a corridor for water and sediment. Roads act as corridors for water flow on the road surface and in the roadside ditch, and as corridors for lateral rerouting material in slides and debris flows. Roads act as sources of water to streams through culverts, gullies and ditches that empty directly into streams. Roads act as sinks by intercepting sediment, and landslides, and as sources for the same. A road segment's ability simultaneously to act as barrier, corridor, source or sink results in the capacity to modify the magnitude and direction of water, sediment and debris movement and to transform water flows into debris flows. Roads thereby can alter the distribution on the landscape of debris flow starting points and stopping points, and can alter the composition of material delivered to streams. For example, a road segment crossing a stream can intercept debris flows preventing delivery of fine sediment, coarse material and wood to a low gradient channel below. Alternately, many debris flows in channels are either initiated or augmented by road associated slope failures. May (1999) found Coast Range debris flows initiated at roads had an order of magnitude greater volume of sediment compared with non-road related failures. In other cases, a debris flow can take out a road at a stream crossing adding the road fill material to its mass. The impact of midslope roads on landslide rates is greatest where slopes are stable enough that slope failures in clearcuts are unusual, but where low investment in road construction and maintenance results in a high frequency of road related landslides (Swanson *et al.* 1987).

Wemple (1998 cited in Jones *et al.* 2000) found that midslope roads are net sources of sediment to streams, whereas lower slope and valley bottom roads are net sinks. Consequently stream segments, below a midslope road, are more likely to be affected by debris flows than a similar stream segment that does not have a road above it (Snyder 1999 cited in Jones *et al.* 2000). Also, a stream below a valley bottom road may be less affected by debris flows compared with a similar stream without parallel road. While this reduces fine sediment delivery to valley bottom streams, it also reduces delivery of the large wood and boulders needed in structure deficient streams.

Runoff from road surfaces can contribute fine sediment to the streams if the runoff is not first routed off into the forest vegetation. Roads that cross streams, roads connected to streams via the ditch line, and roads that closely parallel streams present the greatest risk for contributing fine sediment to stream. Upper slope and ridge top roads that are not connected to streams present the least risk. Asphalt and gravel roads contribute very little sediment to streams as their surfaces are composed of solid or stable compacted material. Natural (dirt) surfaced roads, subject to moderate or heavy traffic, are easily eroded and can contribute sediment to streams if proper drainage is not installed. Because of the potential for sedimentation problems, dirt surfaced roads within the riparian zones were inspected individually. Table Erod-7 shows dirt surface roads within the Riparian Reserves and their current conditions.

Table Erod-7: Dirt surface roads within riparian reserves and their current inspected condition.

Road #	Location	Concerns identified during office review that merited field review	Findings in the field
26-10-31.0	Alder Cr.	Permittee objected to closure.	Segment D2: numerous slides, road is scalloped from sidecast failures, large slide blocking road approx. 100 yds. from start of rd.
27-11-7.1	Hudson Cr.	Segment D: possible sediment delivery from dirt surface road paralleling Hudson Cr. and crossing two 2 nd order draws.	Unable to access
27-11-7.2	Hudson Cr.	Possible sediment delivery from dirt surface road to Hudson Cr.	The road is blocked by a “tank trap” style barrier. No traffic to dislodge sediment from the surface.
27-11-8.1	Hudson Cr.	Possible sediment delivery from dirt surface road to Hudson Cr.	Overgrown with grasses, small seedlings, and brush. Surface now protected by vegetation.
27-11-8.2	Hudson Cr.	possible sediment delivery from dirt surface road to Hudson Cr.	Available aerial photos and maps are out of date. This road has been re-routed and rocked.
27-11-25.0	Little Cherry Ck (Tier 1 Watershed)	Mid-slope dirt road that crosses several draws. Possible sediment delivery from road surface to streams.	The road is blocked and therefore undriveable. The road surface is well vegetated. This is a midslope road with failed, undersized and shotgun culverts, and slides on roadbed. Candidate for restoration work.
27-11-31.0	Jerusalem Ck	Stream side dirt road. Possible sediment delivery from road surface to streams. The road is presently undriveable and is longer than shown in the gtrn (roads) GIS theme.	Material has backed up from an aquaduct style (concrete) culvert under the county road and created an artificially large floodplain upstream.
27-11-35.0	CCC Chicken Foot area (Tier 1 Watershed)	Dirt road crosses two 1 st order draws. Possible sediment delivery from road surface to streams.	Not visited
28-11-7	near Yankee Run	GIS road theme shows dirt road inside Riparian Reserve, parallel to a 3 rd order stream, and shows the road crossing two 1 st order draws. Possible sediment delivery from road surface to streams.	Road not visible on aerial photos and was unable to find on the ground.
28-12-13.1	Zumwalt Spur	Possible sediment delivery from road surface of 28-12-23.0 Road where it crosses a 2 nd order draw.	The road is a ridge top road with no stream crossings.
28-12-23.0	Zumwalt	Possible sediment delivery from road surface.	Longer than transportation map indicates. Ends approx. 100 yds. from landing at the end of -13.1 road. No stream crossings, and very grown over with swordfern, salmonberry and alder, therefore little chance for sediment delivery to streams.

Reference Conditions

Geologically, the Coast Range was created by a combination of regional uplift and erosional processes dominated by landslide activity. The heaviest precipitation in the Coast Range falls on the coastal side and feeds numerous west flowing rivers. This higher rainfall plus steeper gradients have caused more active

headward erosion of the west flowing rivers, compared with the lesser east flowing streams. This resulted in the crest of the Coast Range being pushed well east of the central axis of uplift for the range. The combination of high rainfall and unstable minerals in the bedrock geologic units has produced a thick soil mantle on all but the steepest slopes (Irwin; Hotz 1979). Erosional forces encountering more resistant bedding planes are responsible for the plateaus and benches found in the Tyee formation. The slope of these essentially flat features corresponds to the north dipping slope of the underlying sedimentary bedding planes. Tectonic uplift, along with a progressive lowering of sea level, has resulted in marine and fluvial terrace formation in the west end of the Watershed. The oldest is the 1,600-foot high marine terrace on top of Blue Ridge. Quaternary fluvial terraces and Quaternary alluvium are found along the main stem of the North Fork Coquille down stream from section 16, T26S.,R11W., and along the lower reaches of Middle Creek and Cherry Creek. Alluvial landforms are created when the sediment production exceeds the transport capacity of streams (Lewin 1992 cited in Rot *et al.* 2000). Some flats and benches in the Watershed are the result of slumps, or when found next to small mountain streams down stream from steep reaches and headwalls, are debris torrent depositions.

Erosion is a product of the interaction of landscape characteristics and processes. Among these are fire, rain, wind, topography, lithology and vegetation. The amount, timing and relative importance of different types of erosion are not fixed. Rather erosional patterns change spatially in response to topographic, soil, and vegetation distribution attributes, and temporally in response to vegetation maturity and successional stage, and climatic variation (Benda *et al.* 1999). Surface erosion may be increased by other processes like debris avalanches and windthrow that expose mineral soil and/or locally increase slope steepness. Debris avalanches may be triggered by windthrow or by local slope steepening caused by soil creep, slumping or earthflows. Soil creep can be a precursor to mass movement, for example by loading headwalls, or can cause trees to tip increasing the risk of windthrow (Swanson *et al.* 1982).

Under undisturbed conditions, overland flow of water, even during heavy rainstorms, is rare on forest soils in the Douglas-fir Region. Overland flow, when it does occur, is associated with special circumstances such as when soils are extremely shallow and easily saturated, or when soils are frozen (Fredriksen; Harr 1979). The thick forest canopy, heavy understory vegetation, the duff layer, and the naturally high infiltration rates of the top soils all contribute to minimizing overland flow and associated surface erosion. Overland flow can be locally common on severely disturbed sites (Swanson *et al.* 1987).

Much surface erosion from steep forest soils is the result of particle by particle movement as dry ravel, and displacement by rain drop impact or by freeze-thaw. Ravel occurs primarily on 60%+ slopes, even under natural forest cover. Burning greatly accelerates the rate of ravel by removing the live and dead organic matter that binds soil particles together (Swanson *et al.* 1987). In the Oregon Cascades, the highest surface erosion rates are associated with dry ravel (Swanson *et al.* 1982).

Debris torrents generally begin in first and second order streams and come to rest in lower third order and upper fourth order draws. Long runout debris torrents typically begin high in the drainage in long straight channels with gradients generally exceeding 80% and come to rest either where the channel makes an abrupt turn or where the channel gradient flattens out to 3% to 11%. A short runout debris torrent typically begins lower in the drainage and enters the main channel from a tributary with >60% gradient. A 27-year case study in a Coast Range watershed suggests the greatest extent of stream length directly affected by debris torrents are the second and third order draws (Swanson; Lienkaemper 1978, Swanson *et al.* 1987).

The effect of a debris flow on a stream depends on the size of the stream and the volume of the flow. Debris flow deposits in a small channel can block fish passage whereas a debris flow entering a large stream is likely to be dissipated down stream in a wood laden flood wave (Swanson *et al.* 1987).

Wildfires and climatic conditions influences on erosion processes: Soil denuding low intensity wildfires that did not kill roots resulted in periods of elevated surface erosion but had little effect on mass movement potential. High intensity stand replacement wildfires resulting in an increased rate of landslide activity in addition to surface erosion within the impacted area. High intensity fire also consumed the organic matter that helped resist erosion by binding soil particles together. Dry ravel between rain events delivers colluvium to channels adding sediment and bed load. The loss of live vegetation reduces evapotranspiration. This leads to longer periods of seasonally increased soil water resulting in prolonged seasonal soil creep, slump and earthflow movements. Blowdown caused by fire generated wind, and fallen fire-killed timber resulted in pit and mound microtopography, and accumulations of woody debris on slopes and in stream channels (Swanson 1981).

Mass movement following a fire can transport tremendous amounts of sediment and wood debris to stream channels. Reeves (1996) observed that mass movement following fire can deposit so much material that 2 or 3 meters of sediment and coarse debris can still remain in the channel 100 years after the event. Many terrace-like features next to mountain streams are depositions of debris avalanche transported material that were subsequently cut down through by streams. The accelerated erosion associated with intense fire combined with normal background levels may cause a fivefold increase in sediment yield, and the recovery to pre-fire sediment yields may take 20 to 30 years (Swanson 1981). In the Coast Range, very large stand replacement fires have a return rate of about 240 years (Ripple 1994)⁴. Based on that return rate, the Watershed experienced elevated sediment levels 8% to 12% of the time when periods long enough to include stand replacement fires are considered. Smaller fires and less severe fires would have caused additional smaller spikes of fire associated sediment. Most fires were clustered in time with periods of few fires in between (Appendix: Fire History and Fire Pattern Affects on Stand Development and Landscape Patterns).

Intense precipitation increases landslide rates. In recent history, major storm events resulted in numerous landslides. Data from NOAA Cooperative Weather Stations show intense rain storms (at least 4 inches in 24-hours) have a return frequency of 5+ years. Cumulative rain falls of 9-inches or more over several days correlate to higher incidents of landslides and torrents (USDI 2000). High intensity rainfalls saturate the soil filling the spaces between the soil particles. This is pronounced in concave features on the landscape like headwalls. When the soil pore spaces are filled, the soil particles float apart and away from roots. This overcomes the resistance to soil movement normally provided soil cohesion and root mass. Under these conditions, landsliding is common on the effected landscape and occurs without regard to vegetive cover condition.

Large Slump Features on the Landscape: Geology maps show several large slumps, which form prominent features in the Watershed (Wiley 1995; Beaulieu & Huges 1975; Wells *et al.* 2000). While some slump features are an inherit characteristic of soils derived from Otter Point formation, others are likely the result of earthquakes occurring hundreds to thousands of years ago. Geology and anthropology studies suggest that periodic earthquakes of sufficient intensity to cause flooding through rapid subsidence or tsunamis occurred along the Pacific Coast. Data from Bradley Lake, 5 km south of Coquille indicate 3 earthquake subsidence episodes occurring 300, 1,000 and 1,600 years ago (Hall 1995, Nelson *et al.* 1994, cited in Hall 1995, Clarke & Carver 1993, Atwater 1987). At least 8 events during the last 5,000 years are evident in the marshes of South Slough on Coos Bay (Orr *et al.* 1992). This suggests earthquakes of sufficient size to alter the landscape occur at least on average every 600-700 years. Other sources, cited in Priest (1995) indicate the

⁴ Climatic changes would affect fire frequency. Sediment cores used to reconstruct fire and vegetation patterns in a Coast Range site for a 9,000-year period suggests fire return intervals of 110 ± 20 years for the period 9,000 to 6,850 years ago, and 160 ± 20 years for period 6,850 to 2,750 years ago. The sediment cores indicate a fire return rate for the last 2,750 years comparable with Ripple's findings (Long *et al.* 1998).

Oregon Coast has experienced magnitude 8-9 earthquakes on average every 400 ± 200 years⁵. A one large slump feature, in section 8, T.27S.,R.10W., and a moderate sized slump, in section 21. T.27S.,R.11W., are actively moving.

Synthesis & Interpretation

The geomorphic features of the North Fork Coquille are greatly influenced by mass movement. Mass movement is an inherent condition within the North Fork Coquille Watershed. Mass movement is a crucial component in the delivery of gravels and coarse woody debris to stream systems. Wildfires and climatic conditions influence landslide occurrence. Wildfires of high intensity denude the landscape often resulting in an increased rate of landslide activity within the impacted area. Mass movement following a fire can deposit tremendous amounts of sediment and debris to stream channels. Intense precipitation increases the rates of mass movement. In recent history, major storm events resulted in numerous landslides. These events occurred in 1955, 1964/65, 1969, 1972, 1981/82 and 1996/ 97.

The highest risk areas for slope failure are in headwalls and on steep slopes with thin extremely fragile soils. All headwalls and most other sites of the extremely fragile soils are incorporated into the Riparian Reserve network and the LSRs. The remaining sites with extremely fragile soils on Matrix land have a TPC classification of FGNW and are administratively withdrawn from the timber base. As a result, a continuous forest cover is maintained, to the extent practical, on those sites. Thus a tree cover and down wood are retained on this sites until a slope failure transports those components to the riparian zones and streams where they take on new structural and habitat roles. Over time, less than 28% of BLM lands would be available for regeneration harvest (Introduction Chapter). This percent will be further reduced by TPCC withdraws from the timber base, and protection buffers around the known sites of some S&M and T&E species. No regeneration harvest would occur in the Riparian Reserves except hardwood conversions done to restore Riparian Reserve function. The interim Riparian Reserves provide a 220 to 440-foot wide buffer between regeneration harvest units and the nearest stream channels and headwalls. This buffer will intercept slides that may begin in the Matrix. These reserves protect the streams and riparian processes from the affect of activities on the upland areas.

Natural and human caused changes in erosion: Management for agricultural and forest products have caused increases in surface erosion and mass wasting compared with erosion rates associated with the unmanaged fully forested condition. Experimental manipulation of vegetation in small drainages and studies of erosion processes indicate that debris slides and road surfaces are dominant sources of elevated sediment delivery on managed forest landscapes (Swanson *et al.* 1987). Conversion of land inside the Watershed to agricultural purposes began in the 1860s (Dodge 1898) and the earliest log drive in the Watershed, suggesting the beginnings of commercial logging, was in 1898. The McDonald-Vaughan Logging Company extended track for railroad from Daniels Creek over Blue Ridge to the Coquille side in 1907 (Beckham 1990).

Clearance operations done to improve navigation resulted in removal of snags and jams from the river, and vegetation from the streambanks during the early part of the 20th century. River clearance operations, continued into the later half of the 20th century with the intended purpose of protecting streamside property (Farnell 1979). The result of these clearance operations was the loss of instream structures and streambank trees that could provide streambank protection and future large wood recruitment to the stream. Log drives and splash dam operations resulted in damage to stream side vegetation elevated streambank erosion. The number of logs put into North Fork Coquille in 1903-04 created a hazard to navigation and that caused the

⁵ Priest (1995) further notes that based on sources cited in his paper "The estimated chance in the next 50 years of a great subduction zone earthquake [on the Oregon coast] is between 10 and 20 percent, assuming that the recurrence is on the order of 400 +/-200 years and that the last one occurred about 300 years ago."

Corp of Engineers to regulate the hours of log drives in order to protect river boat traffic. Damage to streamside private property, caused by splash dam releases on the North Fork Coquille, was severe enough to lead to at least 2 law suits (Farnell 1979). The log drives on the North Fork Coquille River and Middle Creek ended in the 1930s (Beckham 1990). However, the efforts to clear the channel of obstacles and hangups combined with the damage caused by pulses of water and logs abrading stream banks and channel have affected the ability of the North Fork Coquille to retain and process sediments and retain large wood with consequences for channel condition and fish habitat.

Road construction and logging on steep ground back away from water access began in earnest after World War II. Early road construction and logging were done as inexpensively as possible with little regard for environmental consequences. The most impacting practices during the 1950s and 1960s, with respect to sediment delivery, were:

- sidecast road construction on steep ground through headwalls and above streams.
- cat logging next to and through streams exposing bare soil and compacting the soil reducing the water infiltration and by that facilitating sheet and sometimes gully erosion.
- poorly rocked or natural surfaced roads near streams.
- road placement next to streams that later necessitated mid-slope road construction to access ridges.
- downhill logging to stream bottom road systems. This practice became increasingly uncommon after the 1950s.
- culverts that were too few, undersized, poorly placed and unmaintained.
- Poor or deferred road maintenance, and road maintenance practices like debris sidecasting, aggressive ditch cleaning and cut bank scaling.
- ground lead logging on steep ground.

Beginning in 1969, the following changes in Federal land management practices resulted in a decrease of soil erosion and subsequent sediment entering the streams:

- NEPA passed in 1969 resulting in increased environmental awareness
- Shift from sidecast to full bench road construction started in the late 1960s/ early 1970s.
- Also in the 1970s, better equipment and aerial systems allowed for full log suspension when logging fragile ground.
- The 1975 TPCC was the first formal stratification of BLM land based on slope stability. Very fragile land was removed from the timber base. Recommendations restricting road construction and logging methods were applied to other fragile lands.
- District soils inventory, with management recommendations, was published in 1977 (Townsend *et. al.* 1977).
- The 1983 MFP required stream buffers on third order and larger streams (USDI 1983).
- The 1986/87 TPCC revision (USDI, 1986) resulted in more land removed from the timber base due to slope fragility.
- The Aquatic Conservation Strategy put forth by FEMAT (1993), and incorporated into planning and decision documents (USDA; USDI 1994, and USDI 1995).
- Best Management Practices are required under the Resource Management Plan (USDI 1995).

The State Forest Practices Act (SFPA), passed in 1971 and followed by revisions over the years, has resulted in incremental improvements in road construction methods, and stream and riparian vegetation protection on private lands.

Weather cycles also affect sediment delivery. Wet and dry periods tend to run for 20 to 25 years, and correlate to shifts in global-scale ocean currents. Climate data for the Oregon coast goes back to 1896. These data showed dry periods for the water years from 1920 to 1945 and from 1975 to 1994. The years

before 1920 and between 1945 and 1975 were wet periods. If the past can be used to predict the future, we should expect about 75% of the next 20 to 25 years to be wetter than average. We should also expect frequent floods, and for the winters to be cooler. The wetter, cooler trend will apply to the winter months but not necessarily to the summer months (Taylor 1999). During the last dry cycle on the Coos Bay District, 1976 and the first part of 1977 were drier than normal, with only 33.5 inches of rain in North Bend, Oregon during the 1976 calendar year (Oregon Climate Service⁶). Intense storms resulting in landslides were largely limited to the years 1978 to 1983, with few mass wasting events occurring between 1983 and 1995. With the suspected entry into a new wet cycle, the winters of 1995/96 and 1996/97 have again been wet and brought intense rain storms with a corresponding increase in landsliding.

Interaction of past management, landslides, and coarse wood delivery: The last log jam removals from low gradient streams on BLM land in this Watershed to improve fish passage was in 1981 (Vaughns Creek and Hudson Creek). The practice of salvaging small jams and individual pieces from streams declined through the 1980s and stopped when the Forest Plan went into effect in 1994. Removal of “potentially floatable debris” is still allowed when necessary to protect “downstream users’ improvements” (USDI 1995 pg D-2).

Biologists for years were keenly aware of the fish passage problems that jams could cause. However, they only began to appreciate the sediment retention and processing functions, and habitat values provided by instream wood when simplified bedrock channel bottoms became a common stream feature in the 1970s. The operational shift from jam removal to jam retention was a slow process. The change over was complicated by an institutionalized concern for fish access to habitat (reinforced by bonafide instances of blockage), cases of property damage caused by debris in the streams, the desire to do good things for fish, and a fundamental change in the composition of logjams in clearcut influenced watersheds. In unmanaged landscapes, log jams were composed of pieces delivered to streams from mature stands, as the result of fire, landslides and windfall. Larger jams were more often associated with extreme events like stand replacement fires or extreme storms. Log jams in the managed landscape contained a large component of tightly packed logging debris and ranged from several hundreds to thousands of feet long (Tioga Appendix: In Stream Gravel & Coarse Woody Debris in the South Fork Coos Watershed Analysis, USDI, 2001).

In addition to fish passage concerns, stream cleaning was also done to reduce the risk of debris torrents on steep headwater streams. In BLM timber sale units sold before 1973, cull material and broken pieces that fell into draws during harvest operations were left in place. This practice was not an issue, with respect to slope stability on gentle to moderate slopes, but had consequences on steep slope-failure-prone first and second order draws. The removal of large stable pieces and deposition of floatable small cut ends, broken pieces and slash into these stream channels changed the size distribution of wood and increased the potential for debris torrents. In some cases, the debris temporarily blocked water flow in steep channels during storms and when the debris gave away the sudden downstream surge of water collected additional debris to form a debris torrent. In other cases, headwall failures resulted in slides or torrents that incorporated material from the logging debris laden draws and transported that material to the lower gradient stream channels below (Swanson; Lienkaemper 1978). This resulted in jams composed of tightly compacted short broken chunks and cull logs. In heavily logged watersheds, individual jams could expand to occupy a mile or more of the channel (USDI 2001).

The approach fish biologists used to gain fish passage through logging debris packed jams change over time. Early log jam removal consisted of moving all of the debris out of the stream. By the mid 1970s the fish biologists’ approach was to rearrange rather than remove the jams. This was done by loosening packed

⁶ Climate data can be found on the Oregon Climate Service website: <http://www.ocs.orst.edu>

debris that was causing the fish passage, so the stream could float that material away, while retaining large key pieces and retaining the gravels captured by the jam. By 1981, very large log jams consisting of tightly packed debris became less common. Visits to remaining logging debris packed jams showed the streams either cutting a new channel around the jams or down cutting below the jams (per. com. Bill Hudson, BLM fish biologist). The reduction in numbers of new jams is often attributed to the loss of large key pieces in the streams to attrition, logging and stream cleaning. However in areas where key pieces have survived or were recently recruited, there has not been a resurgence of large jams consisting of tightly packed logging debris. This suggests the practice of removing logging debris from draws on landslide prone landscapes after 1973, administrative withdraws of very fragile land in 1975, and improved logging practices have reduced the volume of logging debris entering low gradient streams.

Creek cleaning to reduce risk of debris torrents did have the unintended consequence of reducing the channel resistance to stream erosion in timber sale units sold after 1972 and yarded before 1980. BLM contract requirements to remove all logging debris over 6-inches in diameter from stream clearance areas and pile material above the stream high water mark began showing in fiscal year 1973 timber sale prospectuses⁷. The requirement became a regular feature in sales sold in fiscal year 1974, with some variation in the size limit for removal in later years. Some operators exceeded these requirements believing they were doing good work for the land and typically contract administrators did not discourage that behavior. On particularly steep ground, creek cleaning was combined with a gross yarding requirement to remove material that may roll into stream channels following logging. The consequence of this was the loss of embedded wood that provided step pool structures, resistance to debris torrents, and resistance to other less dramatic forms of erosion (Swanson; Lienkaemper 1978). However by about 1979, BLM contract administrators began discouraging the timber sale contractors from using of chainsaws and other power equipment to remove wood from stream channels in the South Fork Coos and North Fork Coquille Watersheds. The administrators stopped the removal of material embedded in the stream channel or bank. Instead, the contract administrators limited material removed from stream channels to that which was obviously logging debris that could be easily picked up and removed by hand.

Vegetation and risk of landslides: Areas that are already prone to sliding under forested conditions are at a greater risk of landsliding during the 10 to 15 years following clearcutting, based on observations in the Coast Range and H. J. Andrews (Swanson *et al.* 1977). Since landslide occurrence is strongly correlated with heavy precipitation events (Dyrness 1967), in-unit landslides may be avoided, if no intense rainstorms occur in the clearcut area during this period. Similarly the highest risk for road related landslide occurs during the first few intense storms following road construction. However, roads may still be at risk of landslides 20+ years after construction (Swanson *et al.* 1977). The shallower the soil, the more sensitive it is to harvest activities. Clearcutting on steep slide prone slopes with shallow soils increases the frequency of landslides, particularly debris avalanches. The deeper the soil the less effect root strength has on stability. A landslide inventory following a 5 to 10-year return period storm in the Coast Range showed all the “naturally” occurring slides and nearly all the management associated slides occurring on the same few soil types (Gresswell *et al.* 1976). An inventory of slides occurring before 1972 found a similar trend (Swanson *et al.* 1977). Gresswell and others (1976) also observed that 78% of the “natural” slides and 82% to 85% of the management associated slides occurred on 80% and steeper slopes. In comparison, 91% of the BLM land classified as FGNW in the North Fork Coquille Watershed occurs on three soil types. Those three soils types also have the steepest range of slopes of all the soils mapped in the watershed (Erosion Processes Appendix).

⁷ A review of timber sale prospectuses on file in the Umpqua Field Office indicates that stream cleaning for the purpose of removing logging debris from fish bearing streams was a requirement in timber sales sold at least as early as 1967. The beginning and extent of stream cleaning on private land is not known.

Tree roots provide shear strength to a soil mass and add supplemental cohesion. Tree roots can also anchor the soil mass to the slope where roots penetrate into underlying fractured bedrock. Burroughs and Thomas (1977) examined Douglas-fir root strength and effect on slope stability and concluded that roots up to 1 cm in diameter are the most effective in increasing the stability of timbered slopes. They also found that the 1 cm and smaller Coastal Douglas-fir roots lost 82% of their strength per unit area within 30 months of tree felling. This fits with a post-storm landslide inventory that showed 65% of the landslides inside clearcuts occurred in units cut in the previous 3 years, 29% occurring in units cut in the 4 to 10 years before the storm, and 6% occurred in units cut more than 10 years before the storm (Gresswell *et al.* 1976). New tree growth replaces the lost tree roots in about 10 to 15 years after cutting⁸.

Partial cut systems(thinning/density management, and shelterwood) do not increase the risk of mass movement on slide prone ground because by their nature, live trees are retained on the site. The amount of live root mass, following a partial cut, is greater than would be suggested by the number of live trees alone. This is due to root grafts and the distribution of root mass in the ground. Eis (1972) found 45% of the selectively cut Douglas-firs were root grafted and half those stumps were still alive 22 years later. In addition, the roots of different trees in the stand are intertwined, unlike the tree crowns, which are spatially distinct. Consequently, thinning does not kill all the roots in the discrete areas of soil below the cut trees (Stout 1956 cited in Oliver & Larson 1990).

The idea that clearcutting precipitates landslides by causing a loss of root strength is not without controversies. These center around the issues of sampling method and the understanding of landslide causal mechanisms. The apparent connection between landslides and clearcutting is based in large part on aerial post-storm landslide inventories, and on landslide histories done using aerial photos (Hockman-West, no date <http://www.wildfirenews.com/forests/forest/research.html>). These methods show where on the landscape slope failures have occurred, indicating slide prone soils and landforms. However, detractors argue that aerial observations and photos under sample slope failures on forested sites making these methods unreliable for correlating vegetation cover to landslide risk. Ground surveys for landslides have supported that argument, particularly for stands older than 100-years (Paul 1999). Skaugset (1999), in a presentation on an alternate landslide causal mechanism, argued that experimental results show the magnitude of excess pore water pressure generated during rain-induced slides and debris flows are sufficient to cause failure by static liquefaction, which would make root reinforcement moot. During follow-up questions, Skaugset speculated that if roots did play a part in providing soil strength under wet conditions then his research suggests that fine roots and root hairs are more important than the larger roots. Skaugset also speculated that the timing of peak rate of landsliding in clearcuts soon after cutting may coincide with macropore formation resulting from root decay. These macropores would channel water in the soil facilitating build-up of excess pore water pressure.

Roots of understory vegetation may provide shear strength to the soil mass and add supplemental cohesion in areas that are prone to sliding. The protection provided to a recently denuded site would depend on the understory species composition and density, and the intensity of the stand replacement event or site prep. To our knowledge, the relation between understory vegetation root strength/ mass and the risk of landslides on a steep forest setting has not been studied. However based on observation, residual vegetation in Coast Range plantations recovers rapidly following site prep if the site prep method sets back or kills the tops of the vegetation but not the roots. Examples are cool to moderate intensity broadcast burning, and manual cutting. Considering 3-year-old and younger trees are unable to fully occupy a site under normal conditions, the rapid

⁸ For comparison, sources cited by Swanston and Swanson (1976) described loss of soil strength associated with rooting beginning 3 years following cutting and reaching minimum strength 15 years after cutting in Japan. Researchers in Alaska and British Columbia observed the minimum rooting strength occurring 3 to 5 years after cutting.

recovery of the herb and shrub components may explain the drop in the rate of landsliding three years after clearcutting observed by Gresswell and others (1976). Intuitively, other site prep methods that do kill root systems, for example high intensity broadcast burning and some herbicides, may contribute to the risk of landslides following clearcut harvest on particularly steep slide prone sites. Under these conditions, forage seeding and/or the establishment of light seeded pioneer species will provide rapid recovery of fine root mass. However, it would take about 15 years before the trees and shrubs fully reoccupy the site and provide larger woody roots. This conjecture has yet to be tested by a controlled study, and may be moot if in fact Skaugset's line of reasoning, summarized above, is correct.

Selection of Waste Areas: Endhauling, a technique used to dispose of excavated material generated by road construction, or slide debris that falls on the roadway, results in the placement of material on a site commonly called a waste area. The selection of suitable waste areas in the Watershed is difficult due to a lack of flat stable areas and the costs associated with long endhauls. Improperly sited waste areas can instigate or increase slope movement due to the loading of marginally stable sites.

Management Activities and Large Slump Features: Geology maps covering the watershed show several large slump features. Large old slump features can be reactivated where roads cut across the toe of the slump feature or where roads alter the water movement on the landscape in a way that redirects water on to the slump and by that lubricate the slump's slip plane. Loading the head of a slump feature with sidecast or endhaul material can also reactivate a slump feature (Burroughs *et al.* 1976). Known active slumps on BLM land are:

- a large slump feature in section 8, T.27S.,R.10W. The 27-10-8.1 Road crosses through the middle of this feature.
- a slump feature in section 21, T27S.,R11W located between the 27-11-21.1 Road and an outside bend of Middle Creek. The instability of this site is likely due to the outside bend of Middle Creek eroding the toe of the slope.

Both the 27-10-8.1 Road and the 27-11-21.1 Road could be contributing to slope instability by directing water onto their respective adjacent slumps, but this is not known to be certain.

Recruitment of Gravels, Cobbles, and Boulders: Bedrock dominated channels and a shortage of in stream gravel were identified as limiting habitat for many stream dwelling organisms by the late 1970s. This not only limits spawning habitat, but also limits habitat for aquatic invertebrates, and in channel water storage, which in turn affects summer low flows and water temperature. The processes that deliver gravel and larger lithic material to the streams also deliver fine sediment. Gravel production is not a limiting factor for streams below steep landslide prone areas with sandstone or basalt parent material, based on the observing instream structures below such sites, with very rare exceptions, back fill with gravel in the one to two winters following installation. This indicates that retention of gravels is a greater problem than recruitment for reaches down stream from the sandstone dominated geologic formations. Low strength and rapid weathering do limit gravel production in those formations characterized by mudstone or siltstone. Durability and retention of the gravels and cobbles, once they enter the stream, are the limiting factors in this Watershed regardless of parent material. Durability is an inherent characteristic unaffected by management. Table Erod-8 compares the parent materials with respect to sediment and gravel production.

Large boulders delivered by landslides and by rock falls can provide structures that retain gravel and to retain wood in wide stream reaches that can in turn capture gravel. Examples of where slope failures have delivered large boulders to a stream can be seen in places along Middle Creek where that stream flows through the Tyee formation.

Table Erod-8 Fine and Coarse Sediment Production by the Primary Geologic Formations in the South Fork Coos Watershed

Formation	Soils and sediment production	gravel production
Tyee formation: Baughman and Tyee Mtn members	<p>Weathers to form loamy sand, sandy loam, gravelly loam, and gravelly sandy loam soils. Surface erosion primarily transports sand particles a short distance from site of origin.</p> <p>The soils on steep landslide prone slopes typically have 35% to 50% sandstone gravels and cobbles. For example, Digger soils are found on 60 to 80% slopes and composed of 40% gravels and cobbles, 30% sand and the rest is silt and clay.</p>	<p>The more durable gravels produced inside this Watershed are from the Tyee sandstones. However, these sandstone gravels are soft and breakdown within a few years to decades to sand sized particles. The sand particles are much more durable being composed primarily of quartz. These sands are either settled out in deposition areas or swept out of the Watershed as bedload. The gravels breakdown as the result of abrasion experienced as bedload in fast moving streams with bedrock floors. Repeated wetting and drying cycles also causes the surfaces of the gravels derived from the softer beds to rapidly crumble into sand particles.</p>
Whitetail Ridge formation	<p>Weathers to form silty clay loam, loam, gravelly loam. Surface erosion can result in the transport of silt particles as suspended sediment. The clay content puts these soils at risk of compaction, which would decrease water infiltration and increase the risk of surface erosion.</p>	<p>The sandstone parent material can produce gravels. Like gravels from Tyee sandstone, these gravels are soft and breakdown within a few years to decades to sand sized particles.</p>
<p>Elkton, Camas Valley, Tenmile formations;</p> <p>Roseburg sedimentary member;</p> <p>Hubbard Creek member of the Tyee formation.</p>	<p>Weathers to form loams, silty loam and silty clay loam soils. Surface erosion can result in the transport of silt particles as suspended sediment. The clay content puts these soils at risk of compaction, which would decrease water infiltration and increase the risk of surface erosion.</p> <p>Areas of deep cohesive soils are characterized by the dominance of slow mass movement processes of soil creep, rotational slump, and earth flows. These process can result in chronic fine sediment delivery where streams are at the toe of these landscape features.</p> <p>The soils derived from siltstone and mudstone have few coarse fragments.</p>	<p>Gravels derived from the siltstone/mudstone in these formations are hard to find in streams because siltstones and mudstones weather rapidly to silts and clays when exposed.</p> <p>Tenmile formation and the Roseburg sedimentary member have thin sandstone beds that can produce some sandstone gravel.</p> <p>Streams flowing through these formations, which originated in the Tyee formation, can have gravel derived from Tyee sandstone.</p>
Roseburg volcanic member	<p>Weathers to form silty clay loam, silt loam soils. Surface erosion can result in the transport of silt particles as suspended sediment. The clay content puts these soils at risk of compaction, which would decrease water infiltration and increase the risk of surface erosion.</p>	<p>The parent material is marine basalt and is long lasting in streams as cobbles and boulders. However, once this material is broken into gravel size material it decomposes rapidly. Marine basalts were extruded underwater. The hot basalt rapidly cooled when it contacted the water causing fracturing that structurally weaken the material.</p>

Erosional Processes and Recruitment of Coarse Woody Debris in Streams: Large wood provides the in stream structure that retains gravel. Erosional processes also deliver large wood to streams. The most important processes for large wood recruitment are streambank erosion, other forms of individual tree mortality, intense storm event associated mass movement, and stand replacement fire associated timber fall and landslides. The relative importance of each of these processes depends on fire return rate, topography and lithology (Benda *et al.* 1999). In the absence of debris torrents, large wood in first and second order draws is randomly located where it initially falls because these streams have insufficient flow to redistribute or transport large wood down stream. Third through fifth order streams are large enough to redistribute large wood and by that form distinct accumulations. In sixth order and larger streams, large wood is generally thrown on islands and banks where the wood has little influence on the stream except during high flow conditions (Swanson; Lienkaemper 1978). Map Chan-1 shows the streams in the North Fork Coquille by stream order.

Bank erosion associated large wood recruitment is greatest in actively migrating portions of the channel, for example Rosgen C stream type, and lowest where streambanks are confined by bedrock or boulders, for example entrenched Rosgen A and B stream channels types (Benda *et al.* 1999). In the west end of the Watershed, large wood recruitment from along stream banks may be reduced, compared with pre-management rates, by the loss of riparian trees in agricultural areas, and by channelization. The effects of log drives on stream banks before the 1940s would have destabilized stream banks along flood plains, and

forested bars resulting in an accelerated recruitment of stream bank trees during the period when log drives occurred. This near term accelerated recruitment and subsequent removal of large wood from the stream was at the expense of long riparian benefits and term recruitment potential.

Shallow rapid landslide and debris flow associated large wood delivery rates are higher in the sandstone dominated members of the Tyee formation, which are characterized by a steep highly dissected landscape, and lower in the rolling less dissected landscapes underlain by the siltstone and mudstone dominated formations. Most large wood delivery to streams on the siltstone and mudstone dominated formations is more associated with chronic tree mortality, and to soil creep and streambank erosion where those processes are active. The volcanic member of the Roseburg formation, on the western edge of the watershed, is topped by a high marine terrace landform. Consequently, large wood delivery to streams on the steep edges of this landform is predominantly by landsliding, and on the relatively flat center of this landform by chronic mortality (Benda *et al.* 1999, Beaulieu & Hughes 1975, Niem & Niem 1990).

Management and Delivery of Large Wood, and Coarse and Fine Sediments: Forest management activities can limit delivery of the large wood that provides the instream structure needed to retain gravels. Management activities can also alter the relative amounts of coarse and fine sediment delivered to streams by both being a source of erosion and by blocking delivery of material. Channels in and downstream from the Tyee formation, and downstream from steep edges of the Roseburg volcanic formation are dependent on debris avalanches and torrents for the recruitment of instream material to provide for roughness and aquatic habitat components. Currently, roads intercept many landslides. The roads act as benches that slow or completely stop slope failure processes that are critical for the recruitment of coarse material. Oregon state guidelines do not allow the transfer of the landslide debris over the road prism and into the channel. Consequently the slide material, which would have entered the stream had there been no road, is removed from the site during post-storm cleanup thus eliminating a critical natural recruitment process.

It is suspected that much of the pre-logging era gravel in the river, which was stored behind woody debris, flushed out of the system following salvaging of merchantable wood from the channel and stream cleaning intended to improve fish passage. Future sources of large woody debris were also lost when mature trees were removed from riparian zones. Removal of large trees from steep headwalls has resulted in a loss of potential large woody debris delivery by debris torrents. May (1999) also observed that landslides from Coast Range clearcut areas had a larger average volume and had runout zones affecting a greater length of streams than landslides from forested areas. May also observed that trees along the edges of the runout zones were important for recovery of those reaches that were scoured down to bedrock. Large trees that had fallen into the bed rock reaches were the only observed mechanism trapping sediment.

Fine Sediment Delivery to Creek Stream Systems: The constituent materials delivered to streams by mass movement (and by other erosional processes) range in size from clay particles to boulders. On entering a stream these materials are sorted by the flow. The silt and clay particles are almost entirely swept out of the stream system as suspended sediment during high winter flows. This suspended material increases turbidity and affects related habitat conditions, but is not well represented in the sediment of the channel bed and bars. The coarser material is either deposited on the flood plain or settles out on the channel bottom to be intermittently moved down stream as bedload. Channel geometry, and obstructions like large wood and boulders cause differences in flow rates that in turn result in storage of part of the bed load within the channel (Benda *et al.* 1999). In A and B type channels, the gravels may be retained behind barriers, while much of the sand is moved out as bedload. In C channel reaches some sand size material, along with the gravel, is retained on flood plains, and behind barriers. Over time, weathering and abrasion will break down the bedload material into small particles. The Stream Channel Chapter in this document includes descriptions of stream channel types.

Road segments that intersect streams or are close to streams have potential to deliver surface erosion derived sediment to streams. Road segments that do not intersect streams, or are not connected to streams by ditchlines, culvert outflows or gullies or have more than 50 to 100 feet of filtering vegetation between them and a stream are usually not at risk of delivering sediment to streams. Roads connected directly to streams by ditches or cross drains function as extensions of the stream system. The road treads produce more fine silt and clay particles than the cut and fill slopes. This is due to traffic activity pumping fine-grain materials to the tread surface, and to the grinding action of the traffic reducing particle size. Water flowing on a road running surface and in the ditch pick up and transports fines (silt and clay) as suspended sediment. Sands and gravels (bedload) usually do not travel far in road side ditches due to low water volumes and velocities. Landslide material deposited on the road and in the ditch line is similarly sorted resulting in a disproportional transport of fines from the point of deposition. Excess fines from roads should only be a potential problem during and immediately following heavy rainstorms and only if the sediment actually reaches a stream. If water from the road surface and ditch line filters through 50 to 100 feet of vegetation before reaching a stream, most all of the sediment will drop out before reaching a stream.

Luce and Black (1999) in their examination of sediment production by Coast Range roads found the variability of sediment yields from road segment to road segment is high. Most road segments produce little sediment. Only a few road segments produce high yields of sediment. This suggests that managing sediment production on the few highest yielding road segments would be the most efficient approach to reducing road associated sediment delivery in a watershed. Based on Luce and Black's data, the following are indicators for identifying the high sediment yielding road segments in the Watershed:

- Sediment production increases with increased road segment length and gradient. Specifically, sediment production was proportional to the product of road segment length times the square of the road grade slope (LS^2). The nonlinearity of slope makes it an important consideration when assessing sediment potential.
- Soil texture has a strong influence on sediment production with the coarser textured soils yielding much less sediment than fine textured soils. For example, sediment production from aggregate surfaced roads on silty clay loam soils was 9 times greater than sediment production from roads constructed on gravelly loam soils.
- Vegetation in the ditchlines and on the cut slope was an important component in preventing erosion. Sediment yields from older roads with undisturbed ditchlines are much smaller than sediment yields from newer roads or roads with disturbed ditchlines. Disturbance of the road surface alone through grading showed less effect. Sediment production was only weakly related to cut slope height. However, increases in sediment production were strongly related to vegetation removal from cut slopes.

The road segments in the Watershed that have the potential to be higher risks for delivering surface erosion derived sediment are:

- Long road segments that dip downhill to stream crossings (Luce and Black 1999). These occur typically on mid and lower slope positions. Based on observation, the steeper long segments that can potentially feed sediment to stream are most commonly found in midslope locations.
- Roads built on fine textured soils close to streams (Luce and Black 1999) on the moderate to steep areas on the geologic members and formations characterized by siltstone and mudstone sedimentary rocks and by volcanic rocks. Risk of management associated sediment delivery is low in those areas in these formations where the average slope is less than 35% (Swanson *et al.* 1987). Example areas are the marine terrace landform part of the Roseburg formation, and the plateau and large bench landform parts of the Elkton formation and of the Hubbard Creek member.
- Lower slope and valley bottom roads that are close to and parallel with a stream where the fill slope and land between the road and streams lack sufficient vegetation to filter sediment, or where a gully has formed below the out fall of a cross drain connecting the ditch line to the stream (Washington Forest

Practices Board 1992).

- Midslope roads that have rutted treads or have long flow paths on the tread where the water is delivered to a stream at a crossing.

Risk of surface erosion derived sediment delivery would be lessened by:

- Appropriately spaced cross drains intercepting ditch water above stream crossings and depositing that water where vegetation can slow and filter the water.
- Well vegetated fillslope, ditchline and cutslopes.
- Paved or well rocked running surface.
- Low traffic or by excluding traffic by blocking or gating.
- Installation of water bars or water dips on infrequently maintained roads.
- Locate new roads so to minimize stream crossings.

Swanson *et al.* (1980), in their discussion on how the method and time frame for measuring management impacts can substantially under or over estimate the change in the rate of landsliding, speculated that when landslide rates are evaluated using a period long enough to include stand replacement fire events, human activities may have affected the timing of sediment delivery more than the rate. This suggests that a large or a very large input of sediment during a single year or a short period of years followed by a recovery period may be more within the range of natural variation than chronic sediment delivery extending over decades. Chronic sediment delivery would be more typical in landscapes where practices like small staggered setting regeneration harvest units, road construction/ renovation, road maintenance, road decommissioning, culvert replacement/ removals occur in most years close to streams. A management approach of entering a watershed and accomplishing all identified forest management and restoration efforts then leaving that watershed alone would result in a sediment delivery pattern more in tune with natural patterns. Confining the timing of all activities in the watershed would not be necessary. Uplands and midslope locations in areas not likely to contribute sediment could be managed following more conventional timetables. On BLM lands, these areas are generally outside the Riparian Reserve.

Roads, Management and Location on the Landscape: Improvement in road construction practices, like improved road location and reduction in sidecast construction, have decreased road-related impacts. However, a road system's ability to redirect and concentrate runoff may still destabilize downslope hillsides and integrate the channel and road networks. Road system placement on ridge tops is often a recommended practice to avoid sedimentation and instability. However, relying on road location alone, without considering how a road may alter drainage patterns, carries the risk of concentrating runoff and by that destabilizing areas downslope from the road. Ridge tops are generally indented at the heads of even subtle draws. Surface runoff from ridge top roads crossing these indentations, if directed into the indentations, can increase the risk of slope failure on landslide prone areas. Avoiding this risk requires considering drainage frequency and ground slope in the road design (Montgomery 1994).

Surface erosion and landsliding on upland sites may cause effects on capital improvements, site productivity and aesthetics at the location of the source of the material, the track followed by the material and the deposition site. However, if the products of erosion are not delivered to streams there is no sediment related effect on the stream system. Consequently extractive, conservative and restoration activities on sites that are not connected to streams or that cannot deliver material to streams will neither benefit nor harm streams with respect to sediment delivery. For example, closing a road on a ridge top, which is well away from and not connected to a stream, may improve elk habitat and reduce road maintenance cost but may not necessarily help streams.

Decommissioning techniques such as subsoiling roads for the purpose of restoring hydrologic function are presently being utilized within the Watershed. Subsoiling does not restore complete hydrologic recovery

because a risk of erosion and concentrations of water onto unstable areas still exists. The continued risk of drainage to streams and onto unstable hillslopes must be considered in the design of road decommissioning. Newly subsoiled roadbeds contain soils which are sterile and poorly structured. They lack organic matter which is essential in gaining porosity and the infiltration capacity of the soil. Taking all of this into account, subsoiling does increase hydrologic function enough to prevent runoff and erosion from most rainfall events. Luce (1997) observed that soils increased in hydraulic conductivity immediately following ripping and that ripping can be a reasonably effective step in the restoration process.

An ID Team completed the TMOs for the BLM controlled roads in the North Fork Coquille Watershed. As funding becomes available, we will make decisions on when and how we will close/ decommission each road by going through a NEPA analysis. Most roads proposed for closing are BLM controlled upper slope and ridge top roads. We need to complete field review of several roads designated for closure to assess the needs for potential culvert removal. Except where we build new roads to access timber sale units, we have few opportunities for additional road closures. Most all the new BLM road construction will be on ridge tops outside the Riparian Reserves. Most existing roads access private land in addition to BLM land and we have right-of-way agreements for those roads that predate the Forest Plan. These agreements limit our options to act unilaterally. Most the streamside roads in the Watershed are private or county controlled and therefore not subject to the Federal Forest Plan.

References

- American Geological Institute (AGI). 1984. *Dictionary of Geological Terms: Third Edition*.
- Anonymous. 1911. *The Realization of a Great Commercial Dream. The World's Most Advanced Example of a Lumber Manufacturing and Distributing Organization*. Lumberman, Nov 11, 1911, pp 43-142.
- Atwater, B.F. 1987. *Evidence for great Holocene earthquakes along the outer coast of Washington State*. Science 236: 942-944
- Beaulieu, J.D.; P.W. Hughes. 1975. *Environmental Geology of Western Coos and Douglas Counties, Oregon, Bulletin 87*. State of Oregon, Dept of Geology and Mineral Industries.
- Beckham, D. 1990. *Swift Flows the River*. Arago Books, Coos Bay & Lake Oswego, OR. 207 pgs.
- Benda, L.; Miller, D.; Sias, J.; Dunne, T.; Reeves, G. 1999. *General Landscape Theory of Organized Complexity* Special Publication 3.1. Earth Systems Institute, Seattle WA. 37 pgs.
- B.C. Ministry of forests, *Coastal Watershed Assessment Procedure Guidebook* (CWAP), British Columbia Ministry of Forests.
- Burroughs, E.R.; Chalfant, G.R.; Townsend, M.A. 1973. *Guide to Reduce Road Failures in Western Oregon*. USDI BLM, Oregon State Office, Portland. 111 pgs.
- Burroughs, E.R.; Thomas, B.R. unpublished. *Initial Report for the Identification of Landslide Hazard Areas in Tyee Sandstone with Slope Gradients Exceeding Sixty Percent*. 17 pgs.
- Burroughs, E.R.; Thomas, B.R. 1977. *Declining Root Strength in Douglas-fir After Felling as a Factor in Slope Stability*. USDA For. Serv. Res, Paper INT-190.
- Clarke Jr., S.H.; Carver, A.G. 1992. *Late Holocene tectonics and paleoseismicity, souther Cascadia Subduction Zone*. Science 255: 188-192.
- Coch, N.K 1995. *Geohazards Natural and Human*. Prentice Hall Englewood Cliffs, NJ. 481 pgs.
- Dodge, O. 1898. *Pioneer History of Coos and Curry Counties, OR*. Republished by Coos-Curry Pioneer and Historical Assoc. 1969. Western World, Bandon, OR. 498 pgs + appendix.
- Dyrness, C.T. 1967. *Mass Soil Movement in the H.J. Andrews Experimental Forest*. USDA FS Res Paper PNW-42. Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 12 pgs.
- Eis, S. 1972. *Root Grafts and the Their Silvicultural Implications*. Can For Res. 2: 111-120.
- Everest, F.H.; Beschta, R.L.; Scrivener, J.C.; Koski, K.V.; Sedell, J.R.; Cederholm, C.J. 1987. *Find Sediment and Salmonid Production: A Paradox*. In *Streamside Management Forestry and Fishery Interactions* Contribution No. 57. edited by E.O. Salo; T.W. Cundy. College of Forest Resources, Univ of Wash. Settle, WA. 471 pg.
- FEMAT (Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team). 1993. *Forest Ecosystem Management: an Ecological, Economic, and Social Assessment*. USDA and USDI. Portland, OR.
- Farnell, J. E. 1979. *Coos and Coquille Rivers Navigability Studies*. State of Oregon, Div. of State Lands. 107 pg.
- Fifield, J.S., 1999. *When Best Managment Practices Become "Bad Management Practices"*, Stormwater Management, September/October 1999.
- Fredriksen, R.L.; Harr, R.D. 1979. *Soil, Vegetation, and Watershed Management*. In: *Forest Soils of the Douglas-fir Region* P.E. Heilman; H.W. Anderson; D.M. Baumgartner editors. Washington State Univ, Coop Ext Serv, Pullman. Pp

- Gresswell, S.; Heller, D.; Minor, D. 1976. *Storm Events During the Period of November 30 to December 4, 1975: A Summary of Slide Inventory Data*. USDA FS Mapleton Ranger Dist, Mapleton, OR. 18 pgs.
- Haagen, J.T. 1989. *Soil Survey of Coos County, Oregon*. USDA. SCS 269 pgs + attached maps.
- Hall, R.L. 1995. *Episodic Flooding of Prehistoric Settlements at the Mouth of the Coquille River*. Oregon Geology 57(1):18-22.
- Hammond, C.; Hall, D.; Miller, S.; Swetik, P. 1992. *Level I Stability Analysis (LISA) documentation for version 2.0 Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-285*. Ogden, UT: USDA, FS, Intermtn Res Stn. 190 pg.
- Hockman-West, D. no date (range is 1997 to 2000). *Landslide Research: How Much Is Enough?* [on line] URL: <http://www.wildfirenews.com/forests/forest/research.html>
- Irwin, W.P.; Hotz, P.E. 1979. The Klamath Mountains and Coast Ranges of Northern California and Southern Oregon. In: Forest Soils of the Douglas-fir Region P.E. Heilman; H.W. Anderson; D.M. Baumgartner editors. Washington State Univ, Coop Ext Serv, Pullman. Pp 7-17.
- Jones, J.A.; Swanson, F.J.; Wemple, B.C.; Snyder, K.U. 2000. *Effects of Roads on Hydrology, Geomorphology, and Disturbance Patches in Stream Networks*. Conservation Biology. 14(1):76-85.
- Lewin, J. 1992. Floodplain Construction and Erosion. In: The Rivers Handbook Vol 1. P. Calow; G.E. Petts eds. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford England. Pp 144-159.
- Long, C.J.; Whitlock, C.; Bartlein, P.J.; Millspaugh, S.H. 1998. *A 9000-Year Fire History From the Oregon Coast Range, Based on a High-Resolution Charcoal Study*. Can J For Res 28: 774-787.
- Luce, C.H., 1997. *Effectiveness of Road Ripping in Restoring Infiltration Capacity of Forest Roads*, Restoration Ecology, Vol. 5, No. 3, Pages 265-270.
- Luce, C.H.; Black, T.A. 1999. *Sediment Production From Forest Roads in Western Oregon*. Water Resources Research, 35(8):2561-2570.
- May, C. 1999. *Debris Flow Characteristics Associated with Forest Practices in the Central Oregon Coast Range*. Presented at: Forest and Streams of the Oregon Coast Range: Building a Foundation for Integrated Resource Management, Jan 12-13, 1999, COPE Program. LaSells Stewart Center, Oregon State Univ. Corvallis.
- Montgomery, D.R., 1994. *Road Surface Drainage, Channel Initiation, and Slope Instability*, Water Resources Research, Vol. 30, No. 6, Pages 1925-1932.
- Nelson, A.R.; Kelsey, H.M.; Hemphill-Haley, E; Witter, R.C. 1994. *Potential record of tsunamis generated by great earthquakes along the southern Cascadia Subduction Zone*. Unpublished manuscript for US Geological Survey Workshop Sept 1994.
- Niem, A.R.; W.A. Niem. 1990. *Geology and Oil, and Coal Resources, Southern Tyee Basin, Southern Coast Range, Oregon*. Open-file Report 0-89-3. State of Oregon, Dept of Geology and Mineral Industries. Portland.
- Oliver, C.D.; Larson, B.C. 1990. *Forest Stand Dynamics*. McGraw-Hill, NY. 467 pgs.
- Orr, E.L; Orr, W.N.; Baldwin, E.M. 1992. *Geology of Oregon* 4th ed. Kendall/Hunt Publ. Co., Dubuque, Iowa. 254pgs.
- Paul, J. 1999. *Limitations of Aerial Photographs and Digital Elevation Models in Conducting Landslide Inventories and assessing Landslide Risk*. Presented at: Forest and Streams of the Oregon Coast Range: Building a Foundation for Integrated Resource Management, Jan 12-13, 1999, COPE Program. LaSells Stewart Center, Oregon State Univ. Corvallis.
- Priest, G.R. 1995 *Explanation of mapping Methods and Use of the Tsunami Hazard Maps fo the Oregon Coast*, Open File Report O-95-67. State of Oregon Depart of Geology and Mineral Industries. 95 pgs.
- Reeves, G. 1996. *Consequences of Riparian Area Management on Stream Ecology*. notes taken at the Ecology & Management of Westside Riparian Areas: Ecology, Fisheries, Wildlife & Silviculture May 21-23, 1996, COPE Program sponsored by Oregon State Univ. and USDA FS PNW Res Stat.
- Ripple, W.J. 1994. *Historic spatial patterns of old forests in Western Oregon*. Journal of Forestry 92: 45-49.
- Ritter, D.F. 1986. *Process Geomorphology* 2nd ed. Wm. C. Brown publishers Dubque, Iowa. 579 pgs.
- Rot, B.W.; Naiman, R.J.; Bilby, R.E. 2000. *Stream Channel Configuration, Landform, and Riparian Forest Structure in the Cascade Mountains, Washington*. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 57: 699-707.
- Skaugset, A. 1999. *Roots and Soil Reinforcement*. Presented at: Forest and Streams of the Oregon Coast Range: Building a Foundation for Integrated Resource Management, Jan 12-13, 1999, COPE Program. LaSells Stewart Center, Oregon State Univ. Corvallis.
- Snyder, K. 1999. *Patterns of Debris Flows in Streams of the H.J. Andrews Experimental Forest*. M.S. Thesis. Dept of For Sci, Ore State Univ, Corvallis.
- Stout, B.B. 1956 *Studies of the Root Systems of Deciduous Trees*, The Harvard Forest, Harvard University, Harvard Forest Bulletin No. 15. 45 pgs.
- Swanson, F.J. 1981. *Fire and Geomorphic Process*. In: Proceedings, Fire Regimes and Ecosystems Conference, Dec 11-15, 1979. Honolulu, HI. Gen Tech Rep WO-26. Wash DC. USDA FS.
- Swanson, F.J; Benda, L.E.; Duncan, S.H.; Grant, G.E.; Megahan, W.F.; Reid, L.M.; Ziemer, R.R. 1987. *Mass Failures and Other Processes of Sediment Production in Pacific Northwest Forest Landscapes*. In: Streamside Management: Forestry and Fishery Interaction. E.O. Salo; T.W. Cundy eds. College of Forest Resources, Univ of Wash, Seattle. Pg 9-38.

- Swanson, F.J.; Fredriksen, R.L.; McCorison. 1982. *Material Transfer in a Western Oregon Forested Watershed*. In: *Analysis of Coniferous Forest Ecosystems in Western United States*. R.L. Edmonds ed. US/IBP Synthesis Series 14. Hutchinson Ross Publishing Co, Stroudsburg, PA. Pg 233-266.
- Swanson, F.J.; Harr, R.D.; and Fredriksen, R.L., 1980. *Field Trip Guide: Geomorphology and Hydrology in the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest, Western Cascades*. USDA Forest Service Forest Sciences Laboratory, Corvallis, OR., pp 217-232.
- Swanson, F.J.; Lienkaemper, G.W. 1978. *Physical Consequences of Large Organic Debris in Pacific Northwest Streams*. USDA FS Gen Tech Report PNW-69. Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland OR. 12 pgs.
- Swanson, F.J.; M.M. Swanson; C. Woods. 1977. *Final Report Inventory of Mass Erosion in the Mapleton Ranger District Siuslaw National Forest, A Cooperative Study of the Siuslaw National Forest and the Pacific Northwest Forest Range Experiment Station*. 41 pgs + fig. & tables.
- Swanson, D.N.; Swanson, F.J. 1976. *Timber Harvesting, Mass Erosion, and Steepland Forest Geomorphology in the Pacific Northwest*. In: *Geomorphology and Engineering*. D.R Coates, ed. Dowden, Hutchinson & Ross, Inc. Stroudsburg, PA. pp 199-221.
- Taylor, G.H. 1999. Long-Term Wet Dry Cycles in Oregon [online] <http://www.ocs.orst.edu/reports/wet-dry.html>
- Townsend, M.A.; Pomeroy, J.A.; Thomas, B.R. 1977. *Soil Inventory of the Coos Bay District*. USDI BLM Coos Bay Dist, Coos Bay, OR 259 pgs + attached maps.
- USDA. unpublished data. *Soil Survey of Douglas County*. USDA Soil Con Serv.
- USDA January 1991. *Level 1 Stability Analysis Ver. 2.0 (LISA 2.0)*, FS, Intermtn Res Stn, Engineering Technology. Computer Software. Moscow, ID.
- USDA August 1994. *Slope Stability Reference Guide for National Forests in the United States, Vol. 1-3*. Forest Service, Engineering Staff, Washington, D.C., EM-7170-13.
- USDA; USDI. 1994. *Record of Decision for Amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management Planning Documents Within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl - Standards and Guidelines for Management of Habitat for Late-Successional and Old-Growth Forest Related Species Within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl*. FS; BLM, Portland OR.
- USDI. 1983. South Coast - Curry Coos Bay District Timber Management Plan Record of Decision Apr 28, 1983. BLM-USDI, Coos Bay District, Coos Bay OR. 42p.
- USDI. 1986. *Timber Production Capability Classification, Handbook 5251-1*, BLM Manual Supplement, Coos Bay Dist. Edition, May 1986, OR State Office, Portland OR. 46 pp.
- USDI. 1995. *Coos Bay District Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan, May 1995*. Coos Bay Dist.-BLM, North Bend, OR. 99 pp. plus appendices and maps.
- USDI. 2000. East Fork Coquille Watershed Analysis on file at the Coos Bay District Office, North Bend, OR.
- USDI. 2001. South Fork Coos Watershed Analysis on file at the Coos Bay District Office, North Bend, OR.
- Varnes, D.J. 1978. *Slope movement types and processes*. In *Landslides*, R. Schuster; R. Krizak eds. pp 11-33.
- Washington Forest Practice Board. 1992. *Standard Methodology for Conducting Watershed Analysis Under Chapter 222-22 WAC*. ver. 1.10 Oct 1992.
- Wells, R.E.; Jayko, A.S.; Niem, A.R.; Black, G. Wiley, T.; Baldwin, W.E.; Molenaar, K.M.; Wheeler, K.L.; DuRoss, C.B.; Givler, R.W. 2000. *Geologic Map and Database of the Roseburg 30X60' Quadrangle, Douglas and Coos Counties, Oregon*. Prepared in cooperation with Oregon Dept. of Geology and Mineral Industries. Open-File Report -376.
- Wemple, B.C. 1998. *Investigations of Runoff Production and Sedimentation on Forest Roads*. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept of For Sci, Ore Sci Univ, Corvallis.
- Wiley, T.J. 1995. *Reconnaissance Geologic Map of the Dora and Sitkum Quadrangles, Coos County, Oregon*. GMS-98. State of Oregon, Dept of Geology and Mineral Industries.